

## Worcestershire

From the chairman

49 Stourbridge Road, Hagley Stourbridge West Midlands DY9 0QS

peterkingiron@blueyonder.co.uk

## Lea Castle Quarry Appeal

Statement of Dr Peter King, chairman of the Worcestershire Branch of **CPRE** the countryside charity, whose initials stand for **Campaign to Protect Rural England**, I am also the Hagley Parish Council planning lead and a local historian.

At a previous stage of this planning application, I provided a historical landscape analysis, which I am not repeating today.

## On behalf of **CPRE**:

This appeal is being heard because the High Court quashed a previous decision. The defect in the decision as determined by the court consisted of the inclusion of four words in a condition as to biodiversity net gain that the applicant was "required to meet national policy and future legislative requirements". I would suggest that there is a simple outcome to this appeal, which is for you to affirm the decision of the previous inspector with the deletion of the four words "and future legislative requirements". However, that is perhaps too simplistic an approach, since the applicant is entitled to a full rehearing.

The first public speaker based her case on the Environment Act 2021. That is post-application legislation and thus "future legislation", the reason why the previous appeal decision was quashed. However many of its principles, including "polluter pays" were already part of planning policy and had been since the 1980s.

CPRE is concerned to preserve the Green Belt. There is a narrow corridor of this between Kidderminster and Cookley, which in our view should remain as agricultural land. The site is quite close to houses in Cookley and across a main road from the new Lea Castle village, which is downwind of the proposed quarry. This is allocated in the Wyre Forest Plan mainly for housing but also with a primary school. This bit of Green Belt is important for keeping the historic settlement of Cookley separate from Kidderminster. The judge rejected the applicant's appeal on that ground and you, Sir, should adopt the same approach.

It will no doubt be argued that there is a local dearth of the minerals sought to be won. I would accept that to be correct as regards Wyre Forest district. However Mineral Planning is undertaken on a county basis, because it is necessary to look more broadly than a single district. There are several quarries at Wildmoor that produce sand and gravel and extensive workings of river gravels south of Worcester.

CPRE Worcs: from the Chairman Dr P.W. King

I am not a geologist, but my reading of the geological map is that there is a small lens of sand and gravel on the top of the hill, above a large area of what is being called solid sand. I was born and brought up in Kinver and am thus very familiar with the nature of "mottled red sandstone", as the geological map calls it. This sandstone is a very abundant locally, with this rock occurring almost continuously for many miles to the north, into Staffordshire. The area is all Green Belt, but much of the rest is not in a place where it is separating two settlements so that there is ample opportunity to quarry in less sensitive areas. The presence of sandstone on this site cannot be a very special circumstance, allowing planning consent, despite the site being Green Belt.

## On Behalf of Hagley Parish Council:

I am also a Parish Councillor for Hagley. It is also an objector. Its concern is that lorry traffic between the site and Birmingham or M5 motorway will increase traffic on A456, which passes through midst of the village and is already being used in peak times beyond its capacity.

Yours Faithfully,

P·W· King

Chairman, CPRE Worcestershire